

Appl. No. 10/062,593  
Amdt. Dated February 7, 2006  
Reply to Office action of January 12, 2006

APP 1296

### Remarks/Arguments

The specification has been amended to correct the typographical error noted by the Examiner.


In the Office Action claims 1-8 and 13-20 were rejected but claims 25-36 were allowed and claims 9-12 and 21-24 were held to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response thereto applicants have canceled all of the rejected claims 1-8 and 13-20 and have amended claims 9 and 21 to be independent claims, including all of the limitations of their prior parent claims. Claims 10, 11 and 12 are dependent on allowable independent claim 9 and claims 22-24 are dependent on allowable independent claim 21. In addition, claims 12, 24, 27, 32, 33, and 36 have been amended to improve their form, and new claims 37, 38, and 39, dependent directly or indirectly on allowable independent claim 9, have been added further to protect applicants' invention

Reconsideration and allowance of claims 9-12 and 21-24 and favorable consideration and allowance of new dependent claims 37-39 are accordingly requested.

In as much as all of the claims now pending in this application have been allowed, have been deemed to be allowable or depend from such allowable claims, it is believed that this application is in condition to be passed to issue, and such actions is respectfully requested. However, if the Examiner deems it would in any way expedite the allowance of this application, he is invited to telephone applicants' attorney at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

Prathima Agrawal et al

By   
James W. Falk  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 16,154  
(732) 699-4465